

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,219 02/12/2004		02/12/2004	Sanja Schiller	5002-1053	3174	
466	7590	09/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
_	G & THOM		WARTALOW	WARTALOWICZ, PAUL A		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET- 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1772		
				DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		w i					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/776,219	SCHILLER, SANJA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul A. Wartalowicz	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
·	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 1, 2, 3, and 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4 is objected to because of the recitation "it can be placed on the toilet seat in order to provide hygienic protection to keep the user's body from coming into direct contact with the toilet seat and wherein" in lines 3-5. The invention cannot be referred to in the 3rd person, "it". In place of "it" should read --disposable hygienic device--. The recitation "can be placed" in line 3 is objected to because it is not definitive.

Claim 6 is objected to because of the recitation "can be equipped" in line 3 because it is not definitive.

Page 3

Claim 7 is objected to because of the recitation "it can be placed on the toilet seat in order to provide hygienic protection to keep the user's body from coming into direct contact with the toilet seat and wherein" in lines 3-5. The invention cannot be referred to in the 3rd person, "it". In place of "it" should read --disposable hygienic device--. The recitation "can be placed" in line 3 is objected to because it is not definitive.

Claim 8 is objected to because of the recitation "can be folded up" and "can be made" in line 3 because it is not definitive.

Claim 9 is objected to because of the recitation "can be folded up" and "can be made" in line 3 because it is not definitive.

Claim 10 is objected to because of the recitation "can be folded up" and "can be made" in line 3 because it is not definitive.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1772

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feinzilberg et al. ('111).

Feinzilberg et al. teaches a disposable hygienic protective device for toilet seats (portable toilet, col. 1, lines 5-7; disposable receptacle, col. 3, lines 34-37), characterized by the fact that said protective device is made of low-density plastic and optionally vinyl and/or latex (inflatable vinyl, col. 1, lines 19-20) wherein said hygienic protective device is composed of two basically oval rings that are placed one on top of the other and are bonded together (fig 2, #50a, 50b) wherein said protective device is inflatable (col. 1, lines 25-27) and can be equipped with a check valve (fig 4, #70) made of plastc (vinyl, col. 1, lines 18-19) wherein said protective device has a tear-away tab marked at the edge to allow it to be deflated after use (fig 4, #73) and carried in a pocket (fit within a holder or container which is hand-sized, col. 3, lines 43-46).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Wartalowicz whose telephone number is (571) 272-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,219

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Wartalowicz August 28, 2005 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5